

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/591,879	09/05/2006	Christofer Hierold	. 2004P00018	4508	
•	24131 7590 01/17/2008 LERNER GREENBERG STEMER LLP		EXAI	EXAMINER	
P O BOX 2480			PATEL	PATEL, PUNAM	
HOLLYWOOL	D, FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2855		
	,	,	•		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/17/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		X			
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/591,879	HIEROLD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Punam Patel	2855			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 November 2007</u>. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 12-15 and 18-24 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 18-24 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 12-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 01 November 2007 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/591,879

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tombler et al. (Tombler et al. "Reversible electromechanical characteristics of carbon nanotubes under local-probe manipulation", Nature, June 15, 2000) in view of Hunt et al. (US 200/0167374).

With respect to Claims 12-15, Tombler et al. teach an apparatus comprising:

Art Unit: 2855

a support of two arms (Fig. 1a, the pair of metal electrodes) forming a U-shape (Fig. 1c, wherein the two arms and the base substrate form a U-shape over which the nanotube is suspended);

a single-walled carbon nanotube (SWNT) carried by the two arms (Fig. 1c); and wherein the conductance of the SWNT is changeable by mechanical deformation due to a force applied by a cantilever tip that is disposed between the two arms (Fig. 1c & Fig. 3 description, wherein a change in electrical conductance due to an applied force is taught to be an inherent property of the SWNT and the cantilever is understood to be the actuator/movable mass with a tip). However, Tombler et al. fail to teach each of the two arms comprising a cusp between which the nanostructure is carried.

Hunt et al. teach two arms comprising a cusp between which a nanotube is carried (Figs. 8 and 12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify each of the arms of Tombler et al. to comprise a cusp, as taught by Hunt et al. because nanotubes naturally tend to grow along surface edges due to attractive van der Waals forces and therefore will protrude from the tip end of a cusp and the cusp ensures that only a single nanotube will be connected between the arms (Hunt et al., ¶s 63 and 64), thus providing ease of manufacturing of the device.

Art Unit: 2855

Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claim 16 (the subject matter of which has been incorporated into independent claim 12) is withdrawn in view of the reconsideration of Tombler et al. in view of Hunt et al. See rejection above.

Claims 18-24 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12-15 and 18-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Minot et al. (E.D. Minot, Y. Yuaish, V. Sazonova, J-Y. Park, M. Brink, P. L. McEuen. "Tuning Carbon Nanotube Band Gaps with Strain." *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 90 156401 (2003) pp. 1-4).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Punam Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-6794. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,879 Page 5

Art Unit: 2855

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PP 01/15/2008

EDWARD LEFROWITZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM:NER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800